

FROM CHALKBOARD TO ALGORITHM: HISTORICAL SHIFTS IN TEACHER AUTHORITY IN THE DIGITAL AGE

¹OYELADE, T. J. Ph.D; ²ALABEKEE, V.C. C. Ph.D; & ³NWOKORIE, C. Q.

¹Department of Educational Foundations and Management; ²Faculty of Education;
& ³Department of History and Diplomatic Studies

Alvan Ikoku Federal University of Education
Owerri, Imo State

¹jofinal75@gmail.com, oyelade.joseph@alvanikoku.edu.ng;
²dralabekeevc@yahoo.com, ndalabekeevc@alvanikoku.edu.ng;
³Queenchinyere08@gmail.com

¹0806 945 9553; ²0803 709 5305; & ³0803 257 7170

Abstract

The accelerated development of digital technologies and artificial intelligence has drastically changed the historical roots of teachers' authority in modern educational systems. This paper looked into historical changes in teacher's authority from traditionally chalkboard-based pedagogy towards algorithmically driven learning in the digital era. The theoretical basis for this analysis were drawn from theories of authority by Weber, theories of power and discipline by Foucault, and critical pedagogy by Freire. The paper explored how the process of technological innovation, algorithmic governance, and AI-driven instruction systems has been re-shaping educational power dynamics, class dynamics, and pedagogy itself. The paper contends that while traditionally teachers relied on their monopoly in disseminating knowledge, their institutional authority and ability to control and punish students, the modern technological developments have been gradually changing educational power dynamic. This paper examined the important issues of debate around the themes of algorithmic surveillance, automated evaluation, dependence on platforms, and the increasing conflict between human teacher-student relationships and the machine-based processes of learning. Even when technology is seen to be democratizing education, making education more efficient and enabling personalized learning, this paper holds that technology cannot be used to substitute for the human elements of teaching such as moral reasoning, emotional intelligence, mentoring, and interpersonal communication. Therefore, the paper concluded that teacher authority is not fading away but undergoing a historical reformation in digital educational realities in which teachers become facilitators, moral guides, and human navigators in algorithmic learning. The paper advocates for the balanced use of technology, maintenance of human pedagogy, and ethical regulation of artificial intelligence in education.

Key Words: *Algorithmic Education, Artificial Intelligence, Digital Pedagogy, and Teacher Authority*

Introduction

In the past, teacher authority has been at the center of formal educational systems, whereby the teacher was historically seen as the undisputed keeper of knowledge, discipline, and morality in the classroom environment. In the past school setting and the early modern schooling system, authority was mainly hierarchical in that learners were supposed to learn knowledge from the teacher passively through teacher-centered approaches that were characterized by chalk-and-talk instructions, memorization, and discipline. Modern schooling brought about by the industrial era and colonialism served to cement the position of the teacher's epistemic and institutional authority in that schools became the formal places where standardized knowledge and social values would be transmitted through formal schooling processes. The rapid technological development in the 21st century has radically altered the nature of classroom interaction,

communication, and information access in ways that have brought into question the historical monopoly of teachers in the production and distribution of knowledge. Internet technology, virtual learning systems, digital platforms, and smartphones have served to change the place of learning from the confines of the classroom environment to digital space, which has become more fluid and interactive (Selwyn, 2021; Williamson & Eynon, 2020).

The emergence of artificial intelligence (AI) and learning algorithms contributes to a heated discussion about the future of teacher authority and evolving relationships in a digitally transforming environment. Such technologies as adaptive learning platforms, automated assessment systems, intelligent tutoring apps, and predictive analytics are performing functions that used to be performed only by teachers; in doing so, algorithms become mediators of learning process and decision making in the classroom. The modern discourse about education becomes characterized by the clash of the traditional idea of "teacher as an authority" and the novel phenomenon of "algorithm as an instructional mediator," which uses technology for personalization of learning pathways, monitoring of students' progress, and shaping learning experiences through data-driven recommendations (Holmes et al., 2022; Zawacki-Richter et al., 2021). Supporters of technology state that algorithms help improve efficiency, access, and learners' autonomy. However, the critics maintain that relying too much on AI can undermine teachers' authority, eliminate human interaction, and contribute to digital surveillance and algorithmic control of school life. This transformation poses some serious philosophical and historical questions about whether technological systems are helping or transforming the essence of pedagogical authority. Therefore, it is crucial to learn about historical transitions from chalkboard-based instruction.

Statement of the Problem

Traditionally, teachers played an important role as providers of knowledge and authority within the classroom; however, due to the development of the digital age and the application of AI in the field of education, this position is becoming increasingly threatened. In the context of the easy access to information through the Internet and use of digital learning tools, the power teachers traditionally had when it comes to transferring knowledge is being challenged, while learner-based and technology-based instruction replaces traditional forms of teacher-based instruction. Moreover, the implementation of personalized learning and AI-based instructional systems affects the learning process in ways that put into question the necessity of traditional teacher authority and management. At the same time, despite all the technological advances, there are still some important aspects of teaching that cannot be provided by the algorithm – ethical, emotional, and interpersonal aspects of teaching.

Objectives of the Study

1. To trace the historical evolution of teacher authority across educational eras.
2. To examine how technological advancement transformed teacher-student power relations.
3. To analyze the implications of algorithmic learning for teacher identity and authority.
4. To interrogate the future of pedagogical authority in digital education systems.

Research Questions

1. How has teacher authority evolved historically?
2. What factors contributed to the transformation of teacher authority?
3. How has digital technology altered classroom power dynamics?
4. What are the implications of algorithmic education for the future of teaching?

Review of Literature

Modern literature shows that teacher authority continues to be an important part of education system even with fast-changing teaching and learning processes in the era of digital technology.

Modern researchers describe teacher authority as a multidimensional concept covering traditional authority, epistemic authority, moral authority, institutional authority, and pedagogical authority in teaching practices and education governance. Traditional authority is about the historically established recognition of teachers' roles as disciplinarians and providers of knowledge, while epistemic authority is derived from professional expertise and intellectual competence of teachers in subject delivery (Harjunen, 2022). Moral authority is related to the teacher's ethics, mentoring function, and ability to form learners' characters and values, while institutional authority is derived from educational policies, rules and procedures that enable teachers to control classroom activities and instruction processes (Biesta, 2021). Recent pedagogical discussion, however, puts more focus on pedagogical authority. According to current interpretations of the works of Paulo Freire, democratic pedagogy in the modern world calls for teachers to serve as facilitators of critical inquiry and co-constructors of knowledge rather than just disseminators of information (Schutz & Gereon, 2021). However, the expansion of digital technologies, e-learning platforms, and open access systems of information delivery is seen by researchers as having undermined the monopoly teachers used to hold on information dissemination (OECD, 2023; Selwyn, 2021).

The recent scholarly work reveals the transformation of educational processes in the context of algorithmic education based on the use of AI technologies in teaching. Algorithmic education can be defined as the implementation of AI-based systems of education, which include intelligent tutoring systems, automated assessment systems, predictive analysis, and other machine learning technologies aimed at personalization and optimization of learning (Holmes et al., 2022).

With adaptive learning technology, educational technologies have started collecting data and analyzing students' performances, engagements, and behavior patterns to tailor educational delivery according to their learning needs (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2021). Educational theorists such as Williamson (2023) and Selwyn (2021) argued that educational authority has started moving from human-centric education to technological-mediated systems characterized by algorithms, analytics, and digital infrastructures. Such development has led to the increase in the use of data-driven pedagogy, where the planning, evaluation, and management of learners are done via automated systems and digital performance monitoring. Recent critical research has raised concerns about the governance and surveillance associated with algorithms in education, asserting that the monitoring of learners through educational technologies leads to new kinds of governance and control of platforms (Knox, 2023; Williamson & Eynon, 2020). Utilizing the interpretation of Foucault's concept of disciplinary power in the contemporary era, researchers suggest that such digital surveillance systems have a detrimental impact on teachers' autonomy and pedagogical relationships and commercialize educational processes. Therefore, according to recent literature, while algorithmic education fosters innovation and flexible learning opportunities for students, it raises numerous ethical and philosophical concerns related to issues of authority, identity of teachers, privacy, and future of humanistic teaching methods.

Theoretical Framework

The current research underpinned by the theories of authority, power, and critical pedagogy put forward by Weber (1947), Foucault (1977), and Freire (1970; 1998), respectively. These theories give an overarching theoretical framework which allows understanding the process of historical evolution of teacher's authority from the traditional classroom learning system to the algorithm-based learning system. The Theory of Authority proposed by Weber revealed the ways in which the authority has been functioning in the school systems traditionally, charisma, and legally. In the traditional form, the teachers have functioned as socially respected guardians of both the knowledge and discipline whose legitimacy was based on well-established cultural and institutional norms especially those in the colonial and conventional schooling systems. The charisma authority has been functioning due to the teacher's individual characteristics, academic influence, and inspirational ability to affect the learners not only institutionally but also beyond that. Nevertheless, current technological shifts have resulted in changes in the above-mentioned foundations of power as the digital learning environment and algorithms are becoming more and

more involved in mediating the process of communication and instruction. It is worth noting that Foucault's Theory of Power and Discipline offers additional analytical perspectives for the examination of educational organizations as instruments of surveillance and control. Specifically, Foucault's concept of disciplinary power can be used as an explanation of the following aspects related to the classroom practice: the process of classroom surveillance, institutional monitoring, performance appraisal, and the development of such digital surveillance technologies as learning analytics, AI-based assessment systems, biometrics attendance, and online tracking tools which constantly monitor students' learning behavior (Knox, 2023; Williamson & Eynon, 2020).

However, despite these theories explaining the issues of authority and control within institutions, the Critical Pedagogy perspective by Freire (1998) is more transformative since it questions the authoritarian nature of educational institutions by promoting dialogic education, democratization of classroom authority and agency of students. According to Freire, the process of learning should foster an engaging and interactive process of education which involves developing critical consciousness, not just memorizing, and not teacher domination (Schutz & Gereon, 2021). In today's digital education, Freire's perspective is especially vital since it helps to question if the process of learning through algorithms does not turn into a form of dependence on new technological means. Therefore, combining the theories of Weber, Foucault, and Freire creates an adequate interdisciplinary theoretical base for analyzing the process of transformation of teacher authority in modern times.

Reconstructing Teacher Authority in the Digital Age

The fast-growing dynamics of digital technology, artificial intelligence, and algorithmic education have considerably affected the traditional role of teacher authority in modern educational settings. In the past, teachers had an absolute role as carriers of knowledge, authority in discipline, and morality; however, with the advent of new learning technologies and algorithms for instruction, this dominance in the sphere of knowledge transfer is constantly undermined (Williamson, 2023; Selwyn, 2021). Modern educational settings are based on technologically mediated practices in which not only teachers but also algorithms, data analytics, and automation in the learning process play an active role in instructive practices and learner engagement. These changes have become the source of numerous academic discussions concerning whether teacher authority is shrinking, changing its nature, or just being redistributed within digital learning environments. Some academics state that technological innovation contributes to the democratization of the educational process because learners gain an opportunity to obtain information freely, while others assert that the algorithms may undermine teacher autonomy, increase surveillance, and dehumanize the teaching-learning process. Consequently, understanding the reconstruction of teacher authority in the digital age requires critical examination of how technology is reshaping educational power, pedagogy, and human interaction within contemporary schooling systems.

From Knowledge Custodian to Learning Facilitator

Traditionally, teachers used to derive their authority from the exclusivity that came from being the only source of the creation and distribution of information in academic contexts where the learners relied solely on classroom teachings and the book-based method of teaching to grow intellectually. However, the advent of digital technologies, online libraries, social media, and artificial intelligence-based education system has led to a significant erosion of the power monopoly of teachers over information sources (OECD, 2023). Learners are becoming more and more capable of accessing information independently through various virtual learning platforms, search engines, and educational applications, thus making the classroom a space where the monopoly of the teacher is giving way to decentralization. Hence, modern-day teachers are increasingly expected to act not just as information providers but rather as facilitators, interpreters,

mentors, and guides helping students to navigate through the ocean of digital information at their disposal (Biesta, 2021).

Algorithmic Power and Educational Control

The development of algorithmic education has led to new forms of power, governance, and institutionality being present in modern education systems via increased deployment of AI learning technologies, prediction algorithms, automated assessment methods, and digital surveillance technologies. Modern educational institutions depend on the process of datafication in which they collect, analyze, and interpret information about students' performance, behavior, attendance, and level of engagement in an effort to govern the learning process and increase efficiency of the institution (Williamson & Eynon, 2020). Even though these technologies are usually used with the purpose of creating better personalized learning experience and making administration more effective, they also lead to increased surveillance and control of educational institutions over their students. With the increasing usage of educational platforms and automation, there are issues of privacy, autonomy of teachers, and increased commercialization of learning spaces in which algorithmic tools affect the decisions about teaching that were made by teachers. Moreover, the overuse of assessment through algorithms and AI-based instruction may have the effect of dehumanizing the educational process by treating human processes of learning as measurable quantities. Therefore, the power of algorithms in education is both a breakthrough development and a mechanism of technological control that can change the dynamics of power in schools.

Human Authority versus Machine Mediation

The growing application of artificial intelligence in education has sparked a number of philosophical discussions about the extent to which an algorithmic system may take over the role of the human element in educational authority. Even though the use of AI tools makes it possible to personalize instruction, evaluate performance, and give instant feedback, there are many concerns surrounding the capability of such technology to make moral judgments, exercise emotional intelligence, empathize, and interpret the context of an educational relationship (Holmes et al., 2022). The act of teaching encompasses more than just imparting knowledge; it includes mentoring, providing ethical leadership, motivation, and encouragement of human values. Though algorithms can be quite effective in organizing study material and predicting patterns of performance, they do not have the human consciousness, experience, and relational skills to deal with the complex issues that arise in the context of education. In addition, an over-reliance on technology can make the learner become a mere passive recipient of technologically managed learning, undermining the human interaction that needs to happen between the teacher and the learner. In this light, even with the rise of AI in education, there is no replacement for human pedagogical authority.

The Future of Teacher Authority

The future of the authority of teachers in digitally enabled educational settings seems to rest not on the ownership of information but rather on their capacity to offer ethical advice, mentoring, critical thinking, emotional intelligence, and contextual interpretation of information. As Selwyn argues, in an era where information can easily be accessed via digital platforms, AI systems, and other tools, teachers are slowly shifting from being authoritarian custodians of knowledge to becoming intellectual mentors who can assist learners in navigating the world of information responsibly and critically (Selwyn, 2021). Modern education requires teachers to nurture the analytical skills, ethics, and social-emotional skills of students. Accordingly, the legitimacy of future educators could be based on their distinctly human traits of empathy, morality, communication, and the comprehension of knowledge through different social and cultural settings. Teachers, rather than being completely replaced by technological systems, will continue

serving as “human navigators within algorithmic learning spaces,” bridging the gap between the learner and increasingly intelligent digital systems. This means that the reconstruction of teachers’ authority in the future will require striking a balance between technology and humanity within education.

Counter Arguments and Critical Debates

Contemporary debates surrounding digital education and artificial intelligence reveal significant optimism regarding the transformative potential of technology within modern educational systems. Proponents of educational technology argue that digital platforms and AI-driven instructional systems democratize education by expanding access to knowledge beyond the physical classroom and reducing barriers associated with geography, cost, and institutional exclusivity (OECD, 2023). Through online learning environments, open educational resources, virtual classrooms, and AI-supported instructional tools, learners can increasingly access educational opportunities irrespective of social or spatial limitations. Similarly, advocates of algorithmic education maintain that artificial intelligence improves instructional efficiency by automating repetitive academic tasks such as grading, attendance monitoring, performance analysis, and administrative management, thereby allowing teachers to focus more on facilitation and learner support (Holmes et al., 2022). Moreover, proponents of adaptive learning technologies argue that algorithms enable personalization through the analysis of the learner’s behavior patterns, academic achievements, and cognitive preferences in order to provide educational materials personalized for each learner (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2021). From such a perspective, algorithmic systems are considered to be novel instruments that have the potential to foster flexibility, inclusiveness, learner autonomy, and better educational results in the emerging technological learning environments.

In spite of all the mentioned benefits of algorithms, some scholars criticize the overreliance on technology and algorithmic systems as being potentially harmful to the human aspects of education and diminishing the practice into mere mechanical instruction. Although modern digital technologies allow gaining easy access to the information and improving administrative functions of learning environments, they cannot substitute human relations and ethical mentoring. Education is not only a cognitive activity that implies sharing information but also a very social and emotional activity that depends on empathy, communication, morality, and human connections. In their view, critics consider the danger that the increased level of automation may turn education into a dehumanized process in which performance indicators, predictions, and standard digital results take precedence over the holistic development of students as social beings (Knox, 2023). Also, the growing power of algorithms in making decisions in the educational sphere can lead to teachers’ loss of freedom and prevent the engagement in critical thinking, creative discussion, and human interactions. Thus, while technology and artificial intelligence provide many opportunities in education, modern discussions reveal that sustainable development in the field involves the right balance between innovations in technology and pedagogy based on humans.

Implications for Education

The evolution of teacher authority in the face of algorithmic technologies in education has various implications in many areas of modern-day education such as teacher education, curriculum development, educational policies, digital literacy, educational leadership, classroom management, and the ethics of AI application in schools. Teachers should be trained to deliver lessons as well as teach through technology; teachers should be knowledgeable in technology-based teaching skills and be capable of making decisions ethically and managing the learning process in an algorithm-enabled environment. Just like that, curriculum development is required in order to adjust to the current trends in digital education in terms of teaching and learning which incorporate critical thinking, digital citizenship, media literacy, data analysis, and proper AI use.

In turn, educational policies should react to the increasing impact of algorithmic technologies by setting certain standards concerning data privacy, accountability, digital inclusion, and equal access to technological means in schools (OECD, 2023). In addition, the fast growth of AI technology in education creates some important ethical issues about surveillance, bias in algorithms, privacy of learners, and the commercialization of data related to the educational process, which calls for more strict institutional regulation that will be able to secure teachers and learners from exploitation of such technologies (Williamson, 2023). Furthermore, digital literacy has now become an important element of the modern educational system because teachers and learners need to possess the skills to assess digital content, operate on digital platforms properly, and understand the social dimensions of algorithms. The concept of educational leadership and classroom management must also shift from traditional management models to technology-oriented ones.

Suggestions

Considering the rising impact of technologies and algorithms in education, this study calls for the harmonious implementation of technology in teaching and learning processes that do not substitute the role of humans as educators but supplement it. The use of technologies should be aimed at preserving the human element of pedagogy through encouraging critical discussion, emotional communication, ethical mentoring and collaborative learning rather than being used as a tool for automation and dehumanization of the educational process. In addition, teacher education programs should focus on offering professional development courses in digital pedagogy, artificial intelligence knowledge and ethical use of technologies.

In addition to this, there should be ethical guidelines formulated by the government or educational policy makers for the use of artificial intelligence in the school system, especially in relation to issues of data protection, algorithm transparency, surveillance techniques, and responsibility of automation of decisions in education. Schools must ensure that digital literacy is fostered among both students and teachers for engaging critically with the technology rather than just being dependent upon the algorithms. In essence, sustainable education in the digital era demands a delicate balance between technology and human interaction within education.

Conclusion

The historical development of education, from blackboard teaching through to algorithms-based learning systems, has shown clearly that rather than eroding teacher power, it is being dramatically transformed through the changes brought about by technological advances, social developments, and pedagogy. Although the advent of technology, artificial intelligence, and data-based education systems has greatly changed the power relations within the classroom environment and challenged the teacher's historical monopoly of knowledge, they have certainly not undermined the uniquely human qualities of teaching which are crucial for any form of education. Today's reality is placing increased demands on teachers to be not only providers of knowledge but moral guides and interpreters of complex digital knowledge environments. While algorithms might increase efficiency, personalization, and access to information, algorithms cannot replace the human capacity to reason, to use emotional intelligence, empathy, moral judgement, and relation. Thus, the move from the blackboard to the algorithm does not spell the end of teacher power, but rather the redefinition of such power in the context of technologically-mediated education where human input is still essential for the proper development of students.

References

- Biesta, G. (2021). *World-centred education: A view for the present*. Routledge.
Biesta, G. (2022). *The rediscovery of teaching*. Routledge.
Cuban, L. (2020). *Teaching, technology, and reform in higher education*. Harvard Education Press.

- Ebirim, P.U., Ekeada, C. C., & Oyelade, T. J., (2026) Integrating artificial intelligence and digital connectivity in remote school management for enhanced educational outcomes among secondary schools in Imo State, Nigeria. Zamfara. *International Journal of Education (ZIJE)*, 6(2), 38-43 <https://doi.org/10.64348/zije.2026294>
- Foucault, M. (1977). *Discipline and punish: The birth of the prison* (A. Sheridan, Trans.). Vintage Books.
- Freire, P. (1970). *Pedagogy of the oppressed*. Continuum.
- Freire, P. (1998). *Teachers as cultural workers: Letters to those who dare teach*. Westview Press.
- Harjunen, E. (2022). Teacher authority: Ethical foundations and contemporary challenges. *Educational Philosophy and Theory*, 54(9), 1015–1028. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131857.2021.1931725>
- Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2022). *Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning*. Center for Curriculum Redesign.
- Illich, I. (1971). *Deschooling society*. Harper and Row.
- Knox, J. (2023). Artificial intelligence and education in the age of algorithmic governance. *Learning, Media and Technology*, 48(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2022.2147690>
- Lawn, M., & Grosvenor, I. (2018). *Imagining schools: The visual construction of schooling*. Symposium Books.
- Meyer, J. W., Ramirez, F. O., & Soysal, Y. N. (1992). World expansion of mass education, 1870–1980. *Sociology of Education*, 65(2), 128–149. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2112679>
- Ngũgĩ wa Thiong’o. (1986). *Decolonizing the mind: The politics of language in African literature*. James Currey.
- Nwagwu, N. A. (2007). Higher education for self-reliance: An imperative for the Nigerian economy. *Journal of Educational Research and Development*, 2(1), 1–8.
- OECD. (2023). *Education at a glance 2023: OECD indicators*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/eag-2023-en>
- Olssen, M., & Peters, M. A. (2005). Neoliberalism, higher education and the knowledge economy. *Journal of Education Policy*, 20(3), 313–345. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02680930500108718>
- Popkewitz, T. S. (2013). *The sociology of education as the history of the present*. Routledge.
- Schutz, A., & Gereon, W. (2021). Paulo Freire and critical pedagogy in the digital age. *Educational Theory*, 71(3), 345–362. <https://doi.org/10.1111/edth.12476>
- Selwyn, N. (2021). *Education and technology: Key issues and debates (2nd ed.)*. Bloomsbury Academic.
- Taiwo, C. O. (1980). *The Nigerian education system: Past, present, and future*. Thomas Nelson.
- UNESCO. (2021). *Reimagining our futures together: A new social contract for education*. UNESCO Publishing.
- Weber, M. (1947). *The theory of social and economic organization (T. Parsons, Trans.)*. Oxford University Press.
- Williamson, B. (2017). *Big data in education: The digital future of learning, policy and practice*. SAGE.
- Williamson, B. (2023). *Making markets through digital platforms: Education policy and algorithmic governance*. Oxford University Press.
- Williamson, B., & Eynon, R. (2020). Historical threads, missing links, and future directions in AI in education. *Learning, Media and Technology*, 45(3), 223–235. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2020.1798995>
- Zawacki-Richter, O., Marin, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2021). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 18(1), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-021-00285-9>